

These Double-Uniteds!

Written by Azad Karimi

The veto of the American representative in the United Nations Security Council was so strange that it followed long hours of angry political reactions from various countries and organizations and sides at high diplomatic levels.

What is clear is that The United Kingdom, Russia, China, and France, who have veto rights in the Security Council like the United States of America, and except for the UK voted in favor of this resolution will never be satisfied with the collapse of the Security Council structure of this quality.

I will start with the United Kingdom, which abstained. Why?... The argument of the British representative in the Security Council was that the resolution insists on a permanent ceasefire without addressing the "reason" for the start of the recent conflict between Hamas terrorists and Israel, so there must necessarily be a legal event with legal reasons for "The Creation of Reaction".

It means that an action should be done on the ground to cause a reaction against it.

Instead of addressing the "proportionate nature of Israel's response" to Hamas' aggression on October 7, the text of the resolution should tell the story from the beginning and say that Hamas should be condemned as the initiator of the war, The UK said. This means that the text of the resolution is incomplete in terms of content because it DOES NOT EXIST A MAIN LEGAL REASON FOR activating Article 99.

The UK's argument was quite logical and correct in terms of procedural formalities. Because we accept that the Security Council is an international arbitration authority and its symbol is that the activation of Article 99 of the

United Nations Charter by the Secretary General of the United Nations was originally a legal event to deal with a legal case.

What caused the mistake of Hamas friends was their neglect of these basic details. I think that the representatives of these countries are not legally literate like Antonio Guterres himself, and all of them, except France, were so confused by the happiness of Guterres's action and they thought "99" would treat Israel so harshly that it would never dare fire a shot at Gaza.

But it is not a question of scaring Israel. Because Israel is not afraid in principle, but legal scandal of the writers of the text of last night's resolution was recorded in history because of Illiteracy, misplaced confidence, collusion and conspiracy, dirty oil money from the Middle East, poor third world countries and suddenly "finding given legal authority to vote" on international issues and circles.

Of course, last night's story was a "Legal Knowledge + Authority" masterpiece in the history of diplomacy in the last two hundred years. I don't like exaggeration, but I say this from the depth of my belief and thoughts.

I congratulate the United Kingdom and the United States of America. I also congratulate Israel for having good friends. Of course, France we cannot expect much from him due to obvious security reasons, but the German Republic has also shown a very beautiful example of authority, friendship and attention to justice and fairness. I really don't know if Germany and Italy were present in last night's meeting or not.

But in any case, the British abstention vote due to not accepting this resolution was completely correct and legal. But the negative vote of the United States of America was completely correct and legal due to its completely moral, legal, political and international security foresight.

Finally, I should say: Peace, like war, has consequences. Peace should be created not as a romantic and seductive gesture, but as a norm and legal- rights

in the system of fulfilling the legal-national justice of countries in the direction of international security.

The result of legal-national justice of countries and international security means world peace. Hence, there is no point in raising a placard as world peace and banging it on other people's heads. You have to create the pillars of world peace so that the face of world peace is manifested.

I still repeat my moral, legal, logical and fair word: Mr. Secretary General, resign and go back to your home!